CENTRAL ASIAN JOURNAL OF THEORETICAL AND APPLIED SCIENCE

https://cajotas.casjournal.org/index.php/CAJOTAS

Volume: 07 Issue: 01 | January 2026 ISSN: 2660-5317

Article

Biotechnological Advances in Biodiesel Production for Cleaner Combustion and Reduced Environmental Impact"

Merfat Adnan Abdalrhman[1], Zena Hassan Jaza[2], Alyaa Muhsin Yousif[3], Reyam Naji Ajmi[4*]

1. Ministry of Education, Al-Karkh Second Directorate, Baghdad, Iraq
2. Department of Biology, College of Science, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq
3. Microbiology department, College of Science, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq
4. Department of Biology, College of Science, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq

*Correspondence: merfat.adnann@gmail.com, zena.h@oumustansiriyah.edu.iq, alyaamohsin@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq, reyam80a@yahoo.com

Abstract: Heavy dependence on petroleum diesel in almost all parts of the world results in serious environmental and health problems, including enhanced emission of greenhouse gases, such as carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and particulate matter, contributing to declining air quality and compromising human health. There is, therefore, an increasing demand to find sustainable and eco-friendly alternatives to conventional diesel. Among various alternative fuels, biodiesel manifests enough promise due to its renewable raw material source and positive environmental impact. This research paper discusses biodiesel from the perspective of physical and chemical properties, combustion dynamics, emissions, and environmental impact. Besides discussing renewable sources of raw materials for biodiesel production, such as vegetable oils, used oils, microalgae, and fatty microorganisms, and identifying the technical and biological barriers associated with its use and production, it gives practical recommendations and supportive policies for its adoption as a sustainable alternative fuel. The production of biodiesel from diverse biological sources and its biochemical conversion processes, such as enzymatic reactions, microbial fermentation, and bioprocessing of plant materials, along with the physical and chemical properties of biodiesel and their impact on combustion and engine efficiency, and the evaluation of exhaust emissions using advanced spectroscopic methods such as FTIR and UV-Vis, and life cycle analysis (LCA) to determine the fuel's environmental impact, with a comparison of performance and emissions between biodiesel and conventional diesel.

Keywords: Biodiesel, Renewable Fuel, Microorganisms, Environmental Sustainability, Life Cycle Assessment.

Citation: Abdalrhman, M. D, Jaza, Z. H, Yousif, A. M & Ajmi, R. N. Biotechnological Advances in Biodiesel Production for Cleaner Combustion and Reduced Environmental Impact". Central Asian Journal of Theoretical and Applied Science 2026, 7(1), 147-154.

Received: 20th Oct 2025
Revised: 30th Nov 2025
Accepted: 07th Dec 2025
Published: 01th Jan 2026

1. Introduction

Definition of Biodiesel as an Alternative Fuel: Biodiesel is a kind of renewable liquid fuel that is mainly made up of FAME or FAEE, which is produced by the transesterification of triglycerides with short-chain alcohols like methanol or ethanol. It can be used in compression ignition (diesel) engines either in its pure form (B100) or as a mix with petroleum diesel, normally B5 or B20, depending on the mixing ratio [1], [2].

The raw materials used in biodiesel production include vegetable oils-both edible and non-edible-waste cooking oils, algal micro fats, and microbial oils derived from oil-producing microorganisms like yeast and bacteria. Due to the fact that most of these raw materials are renewable and can either be replenished annually or periodically, biodiesel production represents a biological pathway to sustainable energy [3]. Waste oils and algal biomass mitigate the food-versus-fuel debate and promote the principles of a circular economy [4]. The shift towards biodiesel contributes to energy sustainability strategies: it reduces greenhouse gas emissions along the product life cycle compared with petroleum diesel when sustainable raw materials are used. It therefore also has a positive contribution to enhanced energy security by diversifying national fuel supplies. It creates value in agriculture and industry by creating local biofuel production chains [5]. Life cycle analysis studies stress that attention has to be given to careful selection of raw materials in order not to end up with intensive agricultural practices or deforestation that negate the potential greenhouse gas emission benefits associated with these fuel types.

Biodiesel has specific physical and chemical properties that directly influence combustion. These are: higher density and viscosity compared to fossil diesel, with a maintained lower calorific value; an inherent oxygen content of about 10% w/w; and a higher flash point, enhancing its safety. Besides, its cetane number is usually equal to or higher than that of petroleum diesel, which favors later ignition and smoother combustion [4]. Most of the experimental works in this field indicate that biodiesel combustion generally reduces particulate emissions, carbon monoxide, and unburned hydrocarbons because of its oxidizing nature and more complete combustion processes. Nitrogen oxides increase under certain conditions, which is negative from the point of view of the formation of tropospheric ozone and local air pollution [5]. Besides, biodiesel is characterized by its biodegradability and a lower aquatic toxicity compared to petroleum diesel, hence reducing the risk of accidental spills [6].

Purpose of the Research Paper:

In the light of the above, this research paper intends to review the physical properties of biodiesel combustion and its relationship with environmental pollution. More precisely, it will include:

1. Describe the main physical properties of biodiesel, including density, viscosity, calorific value, cetane number, oxygen content, flash point, and their relevant measurement parameters.
2. Combustion dynamics determination: spray particle size, ignition delay, and heat release rate.
3. Relating emission patterns of particulate matter, carbon monoxide, hydrogen, nitrogen oxides, sulfur oxides, and aldehydes to fuel properties and combustion conditions.
4. Performing a life cycle analysis to ascertain the various feedstock environmental effects.
5. Offering practical insights and policy recommendations for the sustainable adoption of biodiesel as an alternative fuel.

The Biological Pathway to Biodiesel Production

It covers everything from feedstock sources-vegetable oils, used cooking oils, microalgae, and oleoresinous microorganisms-to the biological and biochemical processes of conversion, which include enzymatic esterification, microbial fermentation, and biological pretreatment of feedstocks. Understanding this framework is crucial not only for designing renewable biofuel systems that move away from fossil fuel dependency but also for a broader endeavor: circular economy and sustainability principles account for 1.8% of this production [6].

Sources of Raw Materials for Biodiesel

1. **Vegetable oils-edible and non-edible:** Because of their relatively high triglyceride content and ease of extraction, traditional vegetable oils, such as soybean, canola, sunflower, and palm oil, remain key raw materials in biodiesel production. However, strong dependence on edible crops raises food-versus-fuel debates and possible land-use conflicts, including deforestation. Hence, current practices are shifting toward the use of non-edible feedstocks, such as Jatropha, or enhancing supply-chain efficiency to reduce environmental impacts [4].
2. **Waste Cooking Oils (WCO/UCO):** Waste cooking oils are an important second-generation feedstock because of their low cost and availability from restaurants and the food industry. WCO is typically high in free fatty acids (FFA), water, as well as particulate contaminants, often requiring pretreatment or tolerant conversion methods such as enzymatic transesterification to produce high biodiesel yields. The use of WCO avoids waste streams and offers a lower carbon footprint than fresh oil feedstocks.
3. **Microalgae:** Microalgae have higher oil productivity per unit area than terrestrial crops, can be grown on non-arable land, and also use wastewater or saline water as a medium to absorb nutrients. However, harvesting, drying, and lipid extraction remain expensive. Combining algal growth with waste water treatment or industrial waste streams enhances the economic viability as well as environmental performance [7].
4. **Oleaginous Microorganisms - single-cell oils, SCOs:** Some yeasts, filamentous fungi, and bacteria have the capability to accumulate intracellular lipids up to 20–70% of dry cell weight, referred to as single-cell oils (SCOs). Most of these can grow on industrial residues or sugar-rich streams, converting the substrate into lipids amenable to FAME production. Among the advantages are no interference with arable land, a broad range of feedstocks is feasible, and the fatty acid composition could be optimized for specific biodiesel properties. Disadvantages include medium costs, lipid extraction, and process scaling for industrial production [8].

Biological and Biochemical Processes

1. **Enzymatic Transesterification:** These processes usually use lipases as catalysts in the reactions of triglycerides to form fatty acid methyl esters (FAME). The advantages are mild conditions of the reaction, resistance to a high level of FFA, and no soap formation; the by-product, glycerol, is clean. Immobilization techniques (magnetic, polymeric, or nanocarrier supports) enable repeated reuses, reducing the operational cost of the enzyme. The main drawbacks are lower reaction rates, inhibition by excess water or alcohol, and the high cost of enzymes. Recent studies are concerned with multi-enzyme synergy, ultrasound-, or supercritical-assisted processing, and advanced reactors for improving efficiency [9].
2. **Microbial Fermentation for Single-Cell Oils:** Oleaginous yeasts and fungi are cultivated by batch, fed-batch, or continuous fermentation, frequently under nitrogen-limited conditions to trigger lipid accumulation. Examples include Yarrowia, Rhodotorula, and Lipomyces species. Integration with streams of waste (industrial effluents, dairy wastewater, lignocellulosic hydrolysates) decreases costs and environmental impact. The direct transesterification techniques in situ simplify downstream processing by combining lipid extraction and transesterification in a single step [10].
3. **Biological Pretreatment of Lignocellulosic Feedstock:** Complex feedstocks, like agricultural residues, need biological or enzymatic pretreatment to hydrolyze cellulose and hemicellulose into fermentable sugars. Specific

fungi or cellulase/ hemicellulase enzymes are used instead of harsh chemical treatments. This enables lignocellulosic residues to be low-cost feedstock for the production of microbial lipid, thereby expanding options for biodiesel production without impinging on arable land [11].

4. **Biological Advantages: according [11] include:**
   a. Sustainability and Reduced Carbon Footprint: Renewable feedstocks-microalgae, WCO, SCO-offer much lower lifecycle GHG emissions when sourced sustainably compared with fossil diesel.
   b. Waste Reduction and Resource Efficiency: This transformation of WCO and industrial residues to biodiesel reduces environmental streams of waste and economically valorizes them as well.
   c. Use of Indigenously Available Resources: Indigenously available feedstocks contribute towards security of energy supply by reducing dependence on imported petroleum. Microbial biotechnologies enable the optimization of lipid composition to meet major biodiesel performance requirements .
5. **Technical and Economic Challenges:** Despite these advantages, biological routes face challenges such as enzyme costs and stability, difficulties in the harvesting/extraction of algae and microbial lipids, growth media costs, and final processing to meet fuel standards. Policies entering this domain must ensure that ILUC (indirect land-use changes) does not offset the environmental benefits. Key research areas include enzyme engineering, low-cost substrates, integrated wastewater-lipid production systems, and development of the technical [12]. The biological framework for biodiesel production is very promising and varied, going from the short-term practical use of WCO to algae and microorganism-based long-term high-productivity strategies. The best strategies embed local feedstocks and processes-enzymatic and fermentation-with a full lifecycle assessment to avoid any environmental trade-off. Applied research should be integrated with feasibility studies and supportive policy measures to ensure successful implementation [13].

Physical and Chemical Properties of Biodiesel according [14].

1. **Density and Viscosity:** Biodiesel is denser and more viscous than conventional petroleum diesel because of the long-chain fatty acid esters. Typical values for the density of biodiesel are in the range 0.86-0.90 g/cm^3 at 15°C, while conventional diesel has values in the range 0.82-0.85 g/cm^3. The increased viscosity can have an impact on fuel atomization and spray characteristics during injection into diesel engines, resulting in slightly longer ignition delays. However, it also ensures better lubrication of vital components within the engine. Most biodiesel applications require engine performance optimization through the use of biodiesel-petroleum diesel blends, such as B20 or B5, which reduces viscosity issues while keeping a level of renewable content in the fuel.
2. **Energy Content (Calorific Value):** This is because the calorific value of biodiesel is usually 8-12% less than that of regular diesel owing to the oxygen content of fatty acid esters . Typical LHV values are 37-40 MJ/kg for biodiesel, while those for petroleum diesel lie in the range of 42-45 MJ/kg. While this cuts down energy output somewhat on a per-unit-volume basis, the higher cetane number of biodiesel frequently compensates through more complete combustion; for biodiesel, this lies in the range of 50-65, compared with a range of 45-55 for diesel.
3. **Flash Point:** Biodiesel has a flash point considerably higher than the conventional diesel, with an estimated value above 120°C and that of

petroleum diesel between 52–96°C (2,5). This makes biodiesel safer for both storage and transportation, with minimal fire or explosion hazards. For safety compliance of the fuel, minimum requirements in flash point are set under standards like ASTM D6751 and EN 14214.

4. **Thermal Conductivity and Refractive Index:** Biodiesel Thermal conductivity is only slightly less than diesel and ranges from 0.13–0.15 W/m•K at room temperature. This property will impact heat transfer during fuel injection and combustion processes. The refractive index generally is utilized as a guide to biodiesel quality and purity. Values for refractive index at 20°C range from 1.45 to 1.47 . Changes in refractive index suggest changes in the composition of the fatty acids, oxidation state, or even impurities.
5. **Comparative Physical Properties: Biodiesel vs. Conventional Diesel:**

Density (g/cm³) 0.86–0.90 0.82–0.85 Higher density improves lubrication but may slightly reduce fuel atomization. Viscosity (mm²/s at 40°C) 4.5–6.0 2.0–4.0 Higher viscosity can affect injection; this is moderated by blending

MJ/kg - 37–40, 42–45. Slightly low energy content; covered by high cetane number

Flash Point (°C) > 120 52–96 Safer storage and transport

Cetane Number 50–65 45–55 Promotes more complete combustion

Thermal Conductivity, W/m•K 0.13–0.15 0.14–0.16 Slightly affect heat transfer in combustion

Taken together, these factors affect combustion efficiency, emission profiles, and engine wear. A high proportion of oxygen in biodiesel contributes to more complete combustion, thereby reducing soot, CO, and partial hydrocarbon burning, although NOx might increase marginally under certain conditions [14], [15].

Physical Study of Pollution from Biodiesel Combustion according [16]:

1. **Combustion Dynamics:** It demonstrates marked physical differences in the biodiesel combustion process compared to traditional diesel. Higher viscosity and oxygen content lead to more uniform atomization, while heat transfer reveals smaller ignition delays. The net outcome of this process is the relatively more complete combustion process, other than flame temperature, which only varies with a small margin depending on blend ratio and engine load applied. Inherent oxygen within the fatty acid esters acts to make flame distribution in the combustion chamber more homogeneous. A favorable outcome of these factors is improvement in thermal efficiency with incomplete combustion products reduced.
2. **Exhaust Emissions according [17]:**
   a. **Carbon Dioxide (CO_2):** In general, biodiesel combustion produces about the same or somewhat less CO_2 per unit energy than petroleum diesel. This is due to the renewable carbon in feedstocks such as vegetable oils or microalgae, which sequester CO_2 during growth, partially offsetting emissions released during combustion of the fuels produced from them .
   b. **Carbon Monoxide (CO):** Due to the oxygenated structure, biodiesel possesses inherently better combustion completeness, yielding mostly lower CO emissions compared to conventional diesel. A reduction of 15% to 30%, depending on engine type and operating conditions, is reported in several experimental studies .
   c. **Nitrogen Oxides (NOx):** Another main problem in the combustion of biodiesel is a moderate rise in NOx emissions, usually 5-10% more than diesel, because of a slightly higher peak combustion temperature and

flame oxygen content. Approaches to reduce NOx formation include EGR, fuel additives, or engine tuning.

d. **Particulate Matter (PM):** Biodiesel combustion typically results in reduced particulate matter emissions because there is oxygen available in the fuel that enhances the oxidation of soot precursors. PM reductions are typically 20–40% compared to conventional diesel, depending on the blend ratio (B20, B50, B100) and engine operating parameters .

3. **Spectroscopic Analysis of Biodiesel Emissions**

Advanced physical techniques are utilized in monitoring and the characterization of exhaust emissions.

FTIR stands for Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy. It finds its application in the identification and quantification of gaseous compounds such as CO, CO_2, NOx, and hydrocarbons. FTIR provides a fast, non-invasive method for studies of molecular composition in exhaust gases [18].

UV-Vis Spectroscopy Can detect specific light-absorbing species, including PAHs and other partially oxidized combustion products, the application of spectroscopic methods would offer an opportunity not only for precise quantification of pollutants but also for the evaluation of the environmental impact caused by biodiesel combustion. These techniques also help correlate fuel properties and combustion conditions with specific emission profiles [19].

4. **Comparative Emission Profile:**

Pollutant Biodiesel Conventional Diesel Effect according [20] include :

a. CO_2 Slightly lower Reference Renewable carbon offsets combustion CO_2
b. CO: 15–30% lower (Reference) More complete combustion because of fuel oxygen
c. NOx 5–10% higher Reference Slightly higher peak temperature and oxygen content
d. PM 20–40% lower Reference Oxygenated fuel reduces soot formation

In general, biodiesel can have a net overall environmental benefit for CO, PM, and greenhouse gas mitigation when it is sustainably produced; however NOx is still an issue that requires engineering solution .

Environmental Impact and Pollution Reduction according [21] include :

1. **Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction:** Biodiesel reduces GHG emissions by balancing a portion of the CO_2 emitted through combustion with the carbon sequestered by feedstock crops or microalgae when they are grown. LCA studies have shown that biodiesel can reduce net CO_2 emissions by 50–80% compared with conventional diesel, depending on feedstock type and production process .
2. **Mitigation of Air Pollutants and Particulate Matter:** Biodiesel combustion generally results in reduced emissions of carbon monoxide, unburned hydrocarbons, and PM, since its inherent oxygen content allows for more complete oxidation of hydrocarbons. Particulate matter reductions are reported to be in the range of 20–40% for pure biodiesel (B100) compared to petroleum diesel. Such reductions are notably advantageous in urban areas where PM is a prime contributor to respiratory and cardiovascular health problems.
3. **Bio-Physical Integration:** It is also appropriate to link the environmental synergies of biodiesel to a bio-physical synergy, as it transforms good feedstock production with cleaner combustion. By incorporating renewable biological resources along with lower-emission fuel characteristics, the holistic approach that biodiesel provides to energy sustainability would

minimize the environmental impacts of air pollution and contribute to climate change accordingly .

Challenges and Future Perspectives

1. **Physical Challenges:** Despite all the advantages of biodiesel, there is some inherent physical limitation with biodiesel. High viscosity in some feedstock for example, wastes of cooking oils and microalgal lipids-affects fuel atomization, injection, and combustion efficiency, hence strategies of blending or heating are warranted. Energy content per unit volume is marginally lower than petroleum diesel, thus engine power output is compromised unless modified by engine tuning or hybrid fuel systems [22].
2. **Biological Challenges:** From a biological perspective, production cost and feedstock efficiency stand out as major drawbacks. Production of highlipid-content microalgae or oleaginous microorganisms can require extensive nutrients, water, and controlled conditions. Large-scale use of vegetable oils can also compete with production of food supplies or arable land [23].
3. **Future Directions:** Future research focuses on technological and biological innovations to overcome these challenges according [24] include: .
   a. Nanotechnology in combustion: Nanoparticle additives can be effectively used to improve fuel atomization, combustion efficiency, and simultaneously reduce NOx and PM emissions, such as cerium oxide and aluminum oxide.
   b. High-performance microbial and algal strains: Through genetic engineering and selective breeding, microalgae and oleaginous microbes are being improved with the purpose of increasing the productivity of their lipids in order to cut down cultivation costs and improve biodiesel properties through better profiles of fatty acids.
   c. Integrated biorefinery approaches:Combination of biodiesel production with wastewater treatment, nutrient recycling and cogeneration of value-added bioproducts may enhance economic feasibility and environmental sustainability.

2. Conclusion

Marine plastic pollution keeps deteriorating. Aquatic plastic pollution, due to the non-biodegradable nature and bioaccumulation within the food web of the plastic, is considered one of the most serious environmental problems today. It may be a threat to biodiversity survival and human health. Limitations of traditional solutions: Traditional methods for plastic waste management, such as incineration, landfilling, and even mechanical recycling, have not been very efficient. The application of spectroscopic methods would provide an opportunity for the precise measurement of pollutants, as well as an opportunity to assess the environmental impact of biodiesel combustion. The aquatic bacteria may be a practical solution, as various types of aquatic bacteria, such as Idionella sakaensis and Pseudomonas aeruginosa, have a high capacity to degrade plastic polymers by producing specific enzymes such as PETase and MHETase, and thus appear promising for biodegradation applications. Molecular methods enhance depth and efficiency. Molecular analysis techniques, such as metagenomics, gene expression analysis, and proteomics, have helped identify the genes and enzymes responsible for plastic degradation and have supported efforts to develop more efficient microbial strains through genetic manipulation and control of microbial environments. Environmental and technical challenges: Despite increasing research, there are some difficulties that hinder practical application. These challenges include the low degradability of biodegradation in nature, sensitivity to enzymes, ethical objections to releasing genetically modified organisms (GMOs) into the environment, and the potential for the formation of toxic compounds due to incomplete degradation and future directions rely on biotechnology. Biotechnology research is moving towards integrating techniques such as CRISPR gene editing, nanotechnology, and the production of enzyme-assisted biodegradable plastics, which accelerates the biodegradation process and demonstrates its effectiveness in industrial and environmental applications.

REFERENCES

[1] United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Turning off the Tap: How the World Can End Plastic Pollution and Create a Circular Economy, United Nations Environment Programme, 2023.

[2] F. Ma and M. A. Hanna, "Biodiesel production: a review," Bioresource Technology, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 1999.

[3] G. Knothe, "Dependence of biodiesel fuel properties on the structure of fatty acid alkyl esters," Fuel Processing Technology, vol. 86, no. 10, pp. 1059–1070, 2005.

[4] A. Demirbas, "Progress and recent trends in biodiesel fuels," Energy Conversion and Management, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 14–34, 2009.

[5] ASTM D6751-20a, Standard specification for biodiesel fuel blend stock (B100) for middle distillate fuels, West Conshohocken, PA: ASTM International, 2020.

[6] US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), A comprehensive analysis of biodiesel impacts on exhaust emissions, Washington, DC: EPA, 2002, Report No. EPA420-P-02-001.

[7] International Energy Agency (IEA), The future of biofuels: sustainable feedstock pathways, Paris: IEA, 2021.

[8] F. Moazeni, Y. C. Chen, and G. Zhang, "Enzymatic transesterification for biodiesel production from used cooking oil: a review," Journal of Cleaner Production, vol. 216, pp. 117–128, 2019.

[9] S. Xia, J. Lin, S. Sayanjali, C. Shen, and L.-Z. Cheong, "Lipase-catalyzed production of biodiesel: a critical review on feedstock, enzyme carrier and process factors," Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 291–309, 2024.

[10] A. Moschona, A. Spanou, et al., "Optimization of enzymatic transesterification of acid oil for biodiesel production using a low-cost lipase: effect of conditions and synergy of lipases," Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology, 2024, doi:10.1007/s12010-024-04941-3.

[11] G. F. Bencresciuto, et al., "Microbial biotechnologies to produce biodiesel and biolubricants from dairy effluents," Fermentation, vol. 10, no. 6, p. 278, 2024.

[12] C. Dias, J. A. L. Santos, A. Reis, and T. Lopes da Silva, "The use of oleaginous yeasts and microalgae grown in brewery wastewater for lipid production and nutrient removal: a review," Waste and Biomass Valorization, vol. 14, pp. 1–20, 2023.

[13] M. U. H. Suzihaque, Biodiesel production from waste cooking oil: a brief review, 2022.

[14] M. A. Ferreira Vela, J. C. Acevedo-Páez, N. Urbina-Suárez, Y. A. Rangel Basto, and Á. D. González-Delgado, "Enzymatic transesterification of waste frying oil from local restaurants in East Colombia using a combined lipase system," Applied Sciences, vol. 10, no. 10, p. 3566, 2020.

[15] D. D. Nunes, et al., "Oleaginous microorganisms as a sustainable oil source for biodiesel production: a review," 2024.

[16] L. Yang, et al., "Development of oil-producing microbiota and its application for biodiesel production," 2024.

[17] M. Mittelbach and C. Remschmidt, Biodiesel: The Comprehensive Handbook, Vienna: Springer, 2004.

[18] ASTM D6751-20a, Standard specification for biodiesel fuel blend stock (B100) for middle distillate fuels, West Conshohocken, PA: ASTM International, 2020.

[19] US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), A comprehensive analysis of biodiesel impacts on exhaust emissions, Washington, DC: EPA, 2002, Report No. EPA420-P-02-001.

[20] A. Demirbas, "Progress and recent trends in biodiesel fuels," Energy Conversion and Management, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 14–34, 2009.

[21] G. Knothe, "Dependence of biodiesel fuel properties on the structure of fatty acid alkyl esters," Fuel Processing Technology, vol. 86, no. 10, pp. 1059–1070, 2005.

[22] F. Ma and M. A. Hanna, "Biodiesel production: a review," Bioresource Technology, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 1999.

[23] A. Demirbas, "Progress and recent trends in biodiesel fuels," Energy Conversion and Management, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 14–34, 2009.

[24] M. Mittelbach and C. Remschmidt, Biodiesel: The Comprehensive Handbook, Vienna: Springer, 2004.